

IN THE UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Applicant: Clifford Edward Allen Jr. et al.

Serial Number: 10/743,963 : Group Art Unit: 3746

Filed: May 28, 2004 : Examiner: Gartenberg, Ehud

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR

ASSEMBLING GAS TURBINE ENGINES:

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL TO THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Express Mail mailing label number: EV 593386353 US

Date of Mailing: May 11, 2005

I certify that the documents listed below:

- Certificate of Express Mailing (1 pg.)
- Issue Fee Transmittal (1 pg., in duplicate)
- Comments On Statement Of Reasons For Allowance (2 pgs.)
- One (1) Replacement Sheet of formal drawings
- Return Post Card

are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. §1.10 on the date indicated above in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop: ISSUE FEE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Robert B. Reese, III

Reg. No. 43,548

ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600
St. Levis, MO 63103, 2740

St. Louis, MO 63102-2740

(314) 621-5070

135232 PATENT



Applicant: Clifford Edward Allen, Jr. et al.

Art Unit: 3746

Serial No.: 10/743,693

Examiner: Gartenburg, Ehud

Filed: December 22, 2003

:

For: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR ASSEMBLING GAS TURBINE ENGINES

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313

Sir:

The following comments are in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance in the Notice of Allowability dated April 6, 2005.

Applicants believe that the Statement of Reasons for Allowance in this case is improper as it merely copies portions of each limitation of the independent claim into the reasons for allowance. While Applicants believe that the claims are allowable, Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides in each feature, exactly as expressed in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability.

Also, reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear the Examiner's reasons for allowing a claim or claims (see 37 CFR §1.104(e)). In the present case, Applicants believe the record as a whole does make the reasons for allowance clear and therefore no statement by the Examiner is

necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance and do not necessarily agree with the Examiner's interpretation of the teachings of the cited art.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert B. Ree

Registration No. 45,548

ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600

St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2740

(314) 621-5070